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Abstract – The effectiveness of renumbering for the incomplete Cholesky conjugate gradient solver, which is usually applied to direct solvers, is examined quantitatively by analyzing 3-D standard benchmark models. On an acceleration factor which is introduced to obtain convergence quickly, indices for determining the optimum value of the acceleration factor, which minimizes the number of iterations, are discussed. It is found that the renumbering is effective to use with the ICCG solver, and the solver using the acceleration factor gives a good convergence characteristic even in the case when the conventional solver fails to provide convergent solutions.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 3-D electromagnetic field analysis using the finite element method, the CPU time for solving linear equations is dominant in the total CPU time. Therefore, an acceleration of the ICCG solver [1,2] is significant in reducing the total CPU time. The acceleration can be obtained by reducing the number of iterations for improving preconditioning.

The improvement in preconditioning can be carried out by renumbering unknown variables [3]. It is often said that there is no total timesaving, especially in the 3-D computation which requires much CPU time, because the renumbering [4,5] is more time-consuming than the reduction in the solution time due to the renumbering. However, it has not been clarified quantitatively how the renumbering can reduce the number of iterations for the ICCG method, and how much time is required for the renumbering.

T.A. Manteuffel succeeded in improving the preconditioning by introducing an acceleration factor. However, the optimum value of the acceleration factor, which minimizes the number of iterations, was determined by trial and error [6].

In this paper, the effect of the renumbering on the number of iterations for the ICCG method is examined quantitatively by analyzing several standard benchmark models for 3-D magnetic field computations. Furthermore, a method for automatically determining the optimum acceleration factor is investigated, and various factors (a gauge condition, types of elements, etc.) affecting the acceleration factor are discussed.

II. IDEAS FOR IMPROVING CONVERGENCE CHARACTERISTIC

The number of iterations for the ICCG method can be reduced when a preconditioning matrix approaches the original coefficient matrix. Ideas for improving the preconditioning are explained.

A. Renumbering

When the incomplete Cholesky decomposition, which is available for a symmetric coefficient matrix, is applied, the preconditioning matrix LDL^T obtained is different from the original matrix H as follows:

\[ LDL^T = H + E \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where E is a matrix which describes the difference between the incomplete and complete decompositions. All non-zero entries in H are decomposed.

If LDL^T approaches H by reducing E, the convergence characteristic can be accelerated. The following objective function W is defined as a useful index which shows the amplitude of E.

\[ W = \sum_{i=1}^{n_x} \sum_{j=1}^{n_y} E_{ij}^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( E_{ij} \) is an entry at a row number i and a column number j in E, and \( n_x \) is the number of unknown variables. The entries of \( E_{ij} \neq 0 \) appear at positions of fill-ins when an acceleration factor \( \gamma \) described later is equal to 1, and also at those of the diagonal entries \( H_{ii} \) of the matrix H when \( \gamma \neq 1 \).

In the case of the complete decomposition, W is equal to zero because all \( E_{ij} \)'s are equal to zero. When the renumbering [5,6] is applied, the preconditioning matrix is improved because the number of fill-ins is reduced.

B. Acceleration Factor

As shown in (3), an acceleration factor \( \gamma \) is introduced into the incomplete decomposition [6-8].

\[ L_{ij} = \begin{cases} \gamma H_{ii} \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} L_{ik}^2 D_{kk} & (i = j) \\ H_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} L_{ik} L_{jk} D_{kk} & (i > j) \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

\[ D_{ii} = 1 / L_{ii} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( L_{ij} \) and \( D_{ii} \) are entries in L and D respectively. The case of \( \gamma = 1 \) corresponds to the conventional ICCG method.

From (1) and (3)-(5), it is noted that \( E_{ij} \) shown in (2) is a function of \( \gamma \), and hence can be controlled by \( \gamma \). Therefore, the acceleration factor can improve the preconditioning matrix.

III. CONVERGENCE CHARACTERISTICS

The convergence characteristics are examined by solving the basic models.

A. Models Analyzed and Method of Analysis

The effects of the renumbering and the acceleration factor \( \gamma \) on the number of iterations for the ICCG method are investigated by analyzing several 3-D standard benchmark models i.e. the linear magnetostatic and ac steady state linear...
eddy current models [9,10] proposed by the Institute of Electrical Engineers of Japan (IEEJ) and shown in Fig. 1. The coefficient matrices of the respective models are real and complex. The finite element method using the magnetic vector potential is applied. Both edge and nodal elements are investigated. All meshes used are regularly subdivided. The calculations are performed in double precision using the NEC SX-1E supercomputer whose maximum speed is 285 MFLOPS.

Table I shows the discretization data for the case using the brick element and without the gauge condition. The number of unknowns for the edge element is nearly the same as that for the nodal element. However, the number of non-zero entries in the original coefficient matrix \( H \) for the edge element is much less than that for the nodal element. The number of non-zero entries in \( E \) with \( y = 1 \) defined in (1) and the size of profile are also shown in Table I. They are discussed in the next section.

B. Investigation of Renumbering Method

1) Distribution of non-zero entries in coefficient matrix: Fig. 2 shows the distribution of non-zero entries obtained by various renumbering methods for the magnetostatic model discretized by the brick edge element. A non-zero entry is illustrated by a dot (•) symbol. REG and RAN mean that the unknown variables are renumbered regularly and at random. REG is available for only the regularly subdivided mesh. RCM and GPS mean the reverse Cuthill-McKee and Gibbs-Poole-Stockmeyer renumbering algorithms [4,5] were used.
respectively. When RCM and GPS are applied, the non-zero entries are concentrated around diagonal entries. In the case of the eddy current model, a similar distribution is obtained. The nodal element also gives a similar distribution.

The number of non-zero entries in the original coefficient matrix \( H \) shown in Table I is identical for all renumbering methods. The size of the profile, which is defined as the summation of the half bandwidth of each row, is different for each renumbering method. When a direct solver such as the Gaussian elimination method is applied, the size of the matrix \( H \) is approximately equal to that of the profile. Therefore, the direct solver requires an extremely large memory space compared with the ICCG solver.

2) Effect of renumbering methods on convergence characteristic: Fig. 3 shows the effect of the renumbering methods on the number of iterations for the ICCG method. The acceleration factor \( \gamma \) of 1.2 is used, which is discussed in the next section. The number of iterations using RCM and GPS is reduced by about 40% compared with that using RAN, and are nearly the same as that using REG. The reason is, as shown in Table I, the number of non-zero entries in the matrix \( E \), which describes the difference between the incomplete and complete decompositions and corresponds to the number of fill-ins, are much less for REG, RCM and GPS than for RAN.

Table II shows the CPU times for the renumbering method and the ICCG solver. As much CPU time is required to find a starting node in the original RCM [4], a technique developed in GPS [5] is adopted to find the starting node quickly. The CPU time for the renumbering method is negligibly small compared with that for the ICCG solver. Therefore, it may be concluded that the renumbering is effective to use with the ICCG solver.

C. Investigation of Acceleration Factor

1) Determination of acceleration factor: Fig. 4 shows the number of iterations \( N_{ICCG} \) for the ICCG method. The optimum value \( \gamma_{opt} \) of \( \gamma \), which minimizes \( N_{ICCG} \), strongly depends on the type of element used. When \( \gamma \) is less than \( \gamma_{opt} \), \( N_{ICCG} \) is greatly increased. Therefore, the conventional incomplete decomposition (\( \gamma = 1 \)) should not be used.

Fig. 5 shows the objective function \( W \) defined in (2). The \( W-\gamma \) curves have forms similar to the \( N_{ICCG}-\gamma \) curves shown in Fig. 4. The \( W-\gamma \) curves, however, are not enough to estimate \( \gamma_{opt} \), because in the case of the nodal element, a great number of iterations is needed for \( \gamma \) which minimizes \( W \) as shown in Fig. 4.

The maximum value \( (L_{ii})_{max} \) of the diagonal entries in \( L \) is shown in Fig. 6. The \( \gamma \) which minimizes \( (L_{ii})_{max} \) is nearly the same as \( \gamma_{opt} \). Therefore, \( \gamma_{opt} \) can easily be determined by finding the minimum value of \( (L_{ii})_{max} \).

2) Effect of acceleration factor on convergence characteristic: Fig. 7 shows the effect of the gauge conditions on the number of iterations. The magnetostatic model is analyzed using RAN and REG methods, shown in Fig. 2. In the case without gauge, \( \gamma = 1 \) with RAN gives the converged result shown in Fig. 7(a). In the case with gauge, however, both renumbering methods fail to provide convergent solutions at \( \gamma = 1 \), as shown in Fig. 7(b).

Fig. 8 shows the effect of the types of elements on the number of iterations. Tetrahedral and brick nodal elements are

![Fig. 3 Effect of renumbering method (\( \gamma = 1.2 \))](image_url)
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Fig. 7 Effect of gauge conditions on number of iterations for ICCG method (magnetostatic model, edge element).

Fig. 8 Effect of types of elements on number of iterations for ICCG method (magnetostatic model, nodal element).

comparatively. The unknown variables for both elements are the same because the tetrahedral mesh is generated by subdividing a brick into six tetrahedrons. \( \gamma \) depends on the types of elements and the renumbering methods.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In order to accelerate the convergence characteristic of the ICCG solver, improvements by renumbering and the acceleration factor were investigated. The results obtained are summarized as follows:

1. As the renumbering can decrease the solution time, it is recommended to execute the renumbering before solving problems.

2. The acceleration factor is robust and gives a good convergence characteristic, and its optimum value can be easily determined.

REFERENCES


Koji Fujiwara (M90) was born in Hiroshima Prefecture, Japan, in 1960. He received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in electrical engineering from Okayama University in 1982 and 1984 respectively.

From 1985 to 1986, he was with Fuji Electric Manufacturing Co., Ltd. Since 1986, he has been an Assistant Professor at the Department of Electrical Engineering, Okayama University. His major fields of interest are the applications of the finite element method to electromagnetic field computations and the acceleration of solvers for large scale simultaneous equations.

Takayoshi Nakata (S72,SM'91) was born in Ehime Prefecture, Japan, in 1930. He received the B.E. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from Kyoto University in 1953 and 1971 respectively.

From 1953 to 1962, he was with Fujif Electric Manufacturing Co., Ltd., where he was engaged as a design and development engineer of large transformers. Since 1963, he has been a Professor at the Department of Electrical Engineering, Okayama University. His major fields of interest are the applications of the finite element method to electrical machines and electronic instruments, magnetic characteristics of transformer cores and magnetic measurements.

Dr. Nakata was awarded the 1983 Book Award, the 1985 Paper Award and the 1989 Electric Power Award of the Institute of Electrical Engineers of Japan. He established the IEEJ Magnetics Society's Tokyo Chapter in 1977. He is a member of the international steering committee of the COMPUMAG conference and a member of the working group on recommended practices for field computation and analysis in electric machinery of the IEEE Power Engineering Society.

Hirotugu Fusayasu was born in Tottori Prefecture, Japan, in 1967. He received the B.E. and M.E. degrees in electrical engineering from Okayama University in 1990 and 1992 respectively.

Since 1992, he has joined Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.